REMARKS

Claims 1-9 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips (U.S. Patent No. 6,748,195) in view of Watanabe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,731,939). Claims 2, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) on the same basis, and further in view of Bell (U.S. Patent No. 6,660,902).

Phillips discloses a wireless device that uses profiles associated with one or more contexts to define various operating situations. For example, based on a profile associated with a location, the wireless device can change its operational behavior relative to sharing resources with other devices. Depending on a context, the wireless device changes its operational behavior in accordance with a defined profile when a context parameter changes.

The present invention accepts data transmitted over a cellular network, from a base station, and converts it into a format suitable for communication on a Bluetooth network. Claims 1, 4 and 7 recite a method of sharing data between a slave and a master where the data is received in a first format from a base station. The data is converted to a second format for transmission over a Bluetooth network.

Phillips does not teach or suggest receiving data in a first format and converting it into a second format for transmission on a Bluetooth network. Phillips is merely describing communication in an ordinary prior art Bluetooth network between Bluetooth-enabled devices.

In view of the amended claims, Phillips does not teach receiving data from a communication system in a first format, storing the received data in the first format, then converting the data from the first format into Bluetooth format for transmission in a Bluetooth network. Phillips only describes communication from phone to phone in the same format, without the converting step of Claims 1, 4 and 7.

678-711 (P9667)

Further, the secondary references do not cure the deficiencies of the primary reference. Neither Watanabe nor Phillips teach, or suggest, converting data from a first format into a Bluetooth format for transmission on a Bluetooth network.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1, 4 and 7 are allowable and their rejections should be withdrawn. Accordingly, since Claims 2 and 3 depend from Claim 1; Claims 5 and 6 depend from Claim 4; and Claims 8-9 depend from Claim 7, those claims should be allowable as well.

Should the Examiner feel that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul J. Farnell Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE

333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel:

(516) 228-8484

Fax:

(516) 228-8516